

REMARKS

Claims 1-21 are all the claims pending in the present application. The Examiner has withdrawn the previous prior art rejections of claims 1-21, however the Examiner now applies a new reference to support the claim rejections. Specifically, claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Chang et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0041553). Applicant traverses these rejections at least based on the following reasons.

Briefly, the new reference Chang is directed to systems or methods for providing intelligent wireless access systems, wherein a microcell cellular architecture is provided in which a digital switch and control are provided in conjunction with a number of intelligent radio ports. Each of the intelligent radio ports provide at least one voice channel to both the microcell and to a traditional wireless macrocell.

With respect to independent claim 1, Applicant submits that Chang does not disclose or suggest at least “wireless connection devices for preparing for connection to a wireless terminal in a service region, and outputting information related to an exhibition for the wireless terminal through a network,” and an “exhibition information server for processing the exhibition information service corresponding to the information related to the exhibition inputted through the network,” as recited in claim 1. That is, nowhere does Chang even mention information related to an exhibition (for example, a specific art object in a gallery). Therefore, Applicant submits that Chang does not anticipate claim 1.

Applicant submits that claims 9, 18, and 20 are patentable at least based on reasons similar to those set forth above with respect to claim 1. Applicant submits that dependent claim 21 is patentable at least by virtue of its dependency from independent claim 20.

Applicant submits that dependent claims 2-8, 10-17, and 19 are patentable at least by virtue of their respective dependencies from independent claims 1, 9, and 18.

Further, with respect to claim 2, Applicant submits that Chang does not disclose or suggest at least, “a wireless communication processing unit for receiving the signal from the wireless terminal, and transmitting the data through air according to a data transmission request signal outputted from the exhibition information server through the network and received in the wire communication processing unit,” as recited in claim 2. Chang only discusses that an IRP communicates with a wireless terminal (the IRP allegedly corresponds to the claimed wireless connection devices), however nowhere does Chang disclose or suggest that the IRP comprises a wireless communication processing unit that transmits data through air according to a data transmission request signal outputted from the wireless service provider, which allegedly corresponds to the exhibition information server. There is no mention of a data transmission request signal that is sent from the wireless service provider to the IRP. Chang only discusses that a wireless service provider can verify whether a particular wireless terminal is registered therewith. Therefore, at least based on the foregoing, Applicant submits that Chang does not anticipate claim 2.

Further, with respect to claim 5, nowhere does Chang disclose or suggest at least, “an exhibition menu providing unit for providing a data including the exhibition information menu so that the wireless terminal connected to the wireless connection device can read the

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. SERIAL NO.: 09/973,045

Atty. Docket No.: Q64313

information for the exhibits, when the connection setup is processed by the connection/disconnection processing unit,” as recited in claim 5. There is no mention anywhere in Chang of providing an exhibition information menu.

Further, with respect to claims 6, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19, and 21, the Examiner never even mentions the specific features of these claims, and upon Applicant’s independent review of Chang, these features are clearly not disclosed or suggested by Chang.

At least based on the foregoing, Applicant submits that Chang does not anticipate claims 1-21.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.


Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER


Diallo T. Crenshaw
Registration No. 52,778

Date: October 6, 2006